## **Lecture 5**: Intermediate macroeconomics, spring 2016 Lars Calmfors

Literature: Krugman–Obstfeld–Melitz, chapters 16 and 17.



#### **Topics**

- Absolute and relative purchasing power parity (PPP)
- The Balassa-Samuelson effect
- The monetary approach to the exchange rate
- The Fisher effect
- The real exchange rate
- The relationship between the real exchange rate and the current account
- The Marshall-Lerner condition and the J-curve
- Short-run equilibrium in a small open economy with a flexible exchange rate (the AA-DD model)
- Stabilisation policy in the AA-DD model



#### **Purchasing Power parity (PPP)**

- Theory of long-run exchange rate determination
- Focus on the importance of goods markets (as opposed to asset markets)
- Developed by Swedish economist Gustaf Cassel (1866-1945) in 1920



## Law of one price for a single good *i*

$$P_{US}^{i} = E \times P_{E}^{i}$$

$$P_{US}^{i} = E_{\$/\$} \times P_{E}^{i}$$

$$E_{\$/\$} = P_{US}^{i}/P_{E}^{i}$$

## **Absolute PPP**

 $E_{\text{}} = P_{US} / P_E$ 

### **Relative PPP**

$$\frac{E_{\$/\notin,t} - E_{\$/\notin,t-1}}{E_{\$/\notin,t-1}} = \pi_{US,t} - \pi_{E,t}$$
$$\pi_t = (P_t - P_{t-1})/P_{t-1}$$

#### Fig. 16-2: The Yen/Dollar Exchange Rate and Relative Japan-U.S. Price Levels, 1980–2012



#### Figure 1.24 EEAG report 2015



<sup>a)</sup> The nominal exchange rate is based on monthly data, while the exchange rate based on purchasing power parity (PPP) is given at a quarterly frequency. The PPP upper bound represents the 75th percentile of the euro country-specific PPP estimates vis-à-vis the US dollar; the lower bound the 25th percentile. The US dollar-euro PPP rate is calculated as the GDP-weighted average of the euro country-specific PPP estimates vis-à-vis the US dollar. Source: OECD Economic Outlook 96, November 2014, European Central Bank, last accessed on 31 January 2015.

#### Fig. 16-3: Price Levels and Real Incomes, 2010



Price level relative to U.S. (U.S. = 100)

#### **Causes of deviations from PPP**

- 1. Transport costs and trade barriers
- 2. Differences in consumption baskets
- 3. Imperfect competition price discrimination pricing to market

#### **Different types of goods and services**

- Tradables or traded goods
- Non-tradables or non-traded goods (primarily services and building)



#### The Balassa-Samuelson effect

## The price level is higher in countries with high per capita income, because prices of non-tradables are higher.

(1)	$P_T = EP_T^*$	(international goods arbitrage)
(2)	$W_T = P_T \cdot MPL_T$	(profit maximization in tradables sector)
(3)	$W_N = W_T$	(homogeneous labor market)
(4)	$P_N = W_N / MPL_N$	(price = marginal cost for non-tradables)
(5)	$P_C = P_T^{\alpha} P_N^{1-\alpha}$	(consumer price index)

## <u>The Balassa-Samuelson effect implies a higher relative price for non-</u> <u>tradables in rich than in poor countries</u>:

Substitutions from the above equations imply:

$$\frac{P_N}{P_T} = \frac{1}{P_T} \cdot \frac{W_N}{MPL_N} = \frac{1}{P_T} \cdot \frac{W_T}{MPL_N} = \frac{P_T \cdot MPL_T}{P_T \cdot MPL_N} = \frac{MPL_T}{MPL_N}$$
$$\frac{MPL_T}{MPL_N} \uparrow \Rightarrow \frac{P_N}{P_T} \uparrow$$

#### The Balassa-Samuelson effect cont.

- Compare countries with the same currency (for example countries in the euro area)
- $P_T$  is the same everywhere because of goods arbitrage
- *MPL<sub>T</sub>* is higher in rich than in poor countries (more real and human capital gives higher productivity).
- Higher  $MPL_T$  implies higher  $W_T = P_T \cdot MPL_T$ .
- A homogenous labour market implies  $W_N = W_T$
- Differences in *MPL<sub>N</sub>* (the marginal product of labour in the non-tradables sector) between countries are small (a hair cut takes more or less the same time everywhere)
- Because  $P_N = W_N / MPL_N$ , the price level for non-tradables must be higher in rich than in poor countries
- Hence  $P_C$  (CPI) must be higher.



#### The monetary approach to the exchange rate

$$E = P_{US} / P_E$$
$$P_{US} = M_{US}^S / L (R_{\$}, Y_{US})$$
$$P_E = M_E^S / L (R_{€}, Y_E)$$

#### The fundamental exchange rate equation

$$E = P_{US}/P_E = (M_{US}^S/M_E^S) \times [L(R_{\text{E}}, Y_E)/L(R_{\text{F}}, Y_{US})]$$

An increase in money supply in the US relative to Europe  $(M_{US}^S / M_E^S \uparrow)$  causes a nominal depreciation of the dollar  $(E\uparrow)$ .



#### **The Fisher effect**

(1)  $R_{\$} = R_{€} + (E^e - E)/E$  (Interest rate parity)

(2) 
$$\frac{E^e - E}{E} = \pi^e_{US} - \pi^e_E$$
 (Relative PPP)

Substitution of (2) into (1) gives:

$$R_{\$} - R_{€} = \pi^e_{US} - \pi^e_E$$

<u>The Fisher effect</u>: a 1 percentage point rise in inflation in one country causes a 1 percentage point increase in the nominal interest rate.



#### **Figure 5-3: Inflation and nominal interest rates over time in Sweden**



#### **Figure 5-4: Inflation and nominal interest rates across countries**



#### Interest rate differentials and real exchange rate changes

**Definition of real exchange rate:**  $q = EP_E / P_{US}$ 

**Expected real exchange rate change:**  $\frac{q^e-q}{q} = \frac{(E^e-E)}{E} + \pi_E^e - \pi_{US}^e$ 

**Interest rate parity:**  $(E^e - E) / E = R_s - R$ 

Substitution implies: 
$$\frac{q^e - q}{q} = (R_{\$} - R_{€}) + \pi_E^e - \pi_{US}^e$$
$$R_{\$} - R_{€} = \pi_{US}^e - \pi_E^e + \frac{q^e - q}{q}$$

Nominal interest rate differential = inflation differential + real depreciation

$$(R_{\$} - \pi_{US}^{e}) - (R_{\pounds} - \pi_{E}^{e}) = \frac{q^{e} - q}{q}$$
$$r_{US}^{e} - r_{E}^{e} = \frac{q^{e} - q}{q}$$

*r* = real interest rate

Real interest rate differential = real depreciation (this is called real interest rate parity)

## <u>A short-run general equilibrium model for an open economy</u> with a flexible exchange rate

#### Aggregate demand for domestically produced goods

D = C + G + I + CA

C = C(Y-T)	<b>Consumption function</b>
$G = \overline{G}$	Exogenous government expenditure
$T=\overline{T}$	Exogenous lump-sum tax
$I = \overline{I}$	Exogenous investment

$$CA = EX - IM = EX - qIM^*$$

 $q = \frac{EP^*}{P}$  = the real exchange rate

The current account (net exports) should be measured in terms of the same numéraire (here domestic goods). So *IM* is imports measured in terms of domestic goods. *IM*\* is imports measured in terms of foreign goods.

$$EX = EX(q, Y^*)$$
  

$$IM^* = IM^*(q, Y - T)$$
  

$$CA = EX(q, Y^*) - qIM^*(q, Y - T) = CA(q, Y^*, Y - T)$$

A real depreciation  $(q^{\uparrow})$  need not improve the current account  $(CA^{\uparrow})$ . <u>Volume effects</u> on exports and imports work in this direction, but the <u>value effect</u> on imports works in the reverse direction.

#### Marshall-Lerner condition

A real depreciation will increase net exports if the Marshall-Lerner condition holds.

The price elasticity of exports + the price elasticity of imports > 1

Then the volume effects dominate the value effect for imports.

All elasticities are defined to be positive.



**Mathematical derivation of Marshall-Lerner condition**  $CA(q, Y^*, Y-T) = EX(q, Y^*) - qIM^*(q, Y-T)$ 

**Wanted: a condition for when**  $\frac{dCA}{dq} > 0$ 

#### Recall the rule of differentiation for a product

$$\frac{d[v(x)u(x)]}{dx} = v_x(x)u(x) + u_x(x)v(x)$$

This implies that  $\frac{d\{qIM^*(q,Y-T)\}}{dq} = IM^*(q,Y-T) + qIM_q^*(q,Y-T)$ 

**Hence:** 
$$\frac{dCA}{dq} = EX_q - IM^* - qIM_q^*$$

Multiply the equation by *q/EX*.

$$\frac{q}{EX} \times \frac{dCA}{dq} = \frac{qEX_q}{EX} - \frac{q^2IM_q^*}{EX} - \frac{qIM^*}{EX}$$

Assume that CA = 0 initially, so that  $EX = qIM^*=IM$ . Then:

$$\frac{q}{EX} \times \frac{dCA}{dq} = \frac{qEX_q}{EX} - \frac{qIM_q^*}{IM^*} - 1$$

$$\frac{dCA}{dq} > 0 \iff \frac{qEX_q}{EX} - \frac{qIM_q^*}{IM^*} > 1$$

$$\frac{qEX_q}{EX} = \frac{q}{EX} \times \frac{\partial EX}{\partial q} = \eta = \text{price elasticity of exports}$$

 $-\frac{qIM_q^*}{IM^*} = -\frac{q}{IM^*} \times \frac{\partial IM^*}{\partial q} = \eta^* = \text{price elasticity of imports}$ 

All price elasticities have been defined so that they are positive.

$$\therefore \eta + \eta^* > 1 \implies \frac{dCA}{dq} > 0$$

## Table 17A2-1: Estimated Price Elasticities for International Trade inManufactured Goods

<b>TABLE 17A2-1</b>	Estimated Price Elasticities for International Trade in Manufactured Goods							
	η			η*				
Country	Impact	Short-run	Long-run	Impact	Short-run	Long-run		
Austria	0.39	0.71	1.37	0.03	0.36	0.80		
Belgium	0.18	0.59	1.55	_		0.70		
Britain			0.31	0.60	0.75	0.75		
Canada	0.08	0.40	0.71	0.72	0.72	0.72		
Denmark	0.82	1.13	1.13	0.55	0.93	1.14		
France	0.20	0.48	1.25		0.49	0.60		
Germany		—	1.41	0.57	0.77	0.77		
Italy		0.56	0.64	0.94	0.94	0.94		
Japan	0.59	1.01	1.61	0.16	0.72	0.97		
Netherlands	0.24	0.49	0.89	0.71	1.22	1.22		
Norway	0.40	0.74	1.49		0.01	0.71		
Sweden	0.27	0.73	1.59		—	0.94		
Switzerland	0.28	0.42	0.73	0.25	0.25	0.25		
United States	0.18	0.48	1.67	_	1.06	1.06		

**Source:** Estimates are taken from Jacques R. Artus and Malcolm D. Knight, *Issues in the Assessment of the Exchange Rates of Industrial Countries*. Occasional Paper 29. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund, July 1984, table 4. Unavailable estimates are indicated by dashes.



## Aggregate demand

### Aggregate demand is given by:

$$D = C \left( Y - T \right) + G + I + CA(\frac{EP^*}{P}, Y^*, Y - T) \Rightarrow$$

## This implies:

$$D = D\left(\frac{EP^*}{P}, Y - T, G, I, Y^*\right)$$
$$\frac{EP^*}{P} \uparrow \Rightarrow D \uparrow$$
$$Y - T \uparrow \Rightarrow D \uparrow$$
$$G \uparrow \Rightarrow D \uparrow$$
$$I \uparrow \Rightarrow D \uparrow$$
$$Y^* \uparrow \Rightarrow D \uparrow$$



### Fig. 17-1: Aggregate Demand as a Function of Output



Output (real income), Y

#### Fig. 17-2: The Determination of Output in the Short Run



#### Fig. 17-3: Output Effect of a Currency Depreciation with Fixed Output Prices





## Fig. 17-5: Government Demand and the Position of the *DD* Schedule



#### **Changes shifting the DD-curve to the right**

- **1.** An increase in government expenditure  $(G^{\uparrow})$
- **2.** A reduction in the tax  $(T\downarrow)$
- **3.** An increase in investment  $(I^{\uparrow})$
- 4. A reduction in the domestic price level  $(P\downarrow)$
- 5. An increase in the foreign price level  $(P^*\uparrow)$
- 6. An increase in foreign income  $(Y^*\uparrow)$



#### **Equilibrium in asset markets**

1. Foreign currency market (interest rate parity)  $R = R^* + (E^e - E)/E$ 

2. Money market  $M^{s}/P = L(R, Y)$ 



## Fig. 17-6: Output and the Exchange Rate in Asset Market Equilibrium





#### Factors shifting the AA-curve upwards

- **1.** An increase in money supply  $(M^{s}\uparrow)$
- **2.** A reduction in the price level  $(P \downarrow)$
- **3.** An expected future depreciation  $(E^{e}\uparrow)$
- **4.** A higher foreign interest rate  $(R^* \downarrow)$



## AN INCREASE IN MONEY SUPPLY, A REDUCTION OF THE PRICE LEVEL



## AN EXPECTED DEPRECIATION, AN INCREASE IN THE FOREIGN INTEREST RATE





Fig. 17-9: How the Economy Reaches Its Short-Run Equilibrium



A temporary change in the money supply



Time

Fig. 17-10: Effects of a Temporary Increase in the Money Supply





## Fig. 17-12: Maintaining Full Employment After a Temporary Fall in World Demand for Domestic Products



#### **Problems with stabilisation policy**

- Policies can easily become too expansionary on average ("inflation bias")
- It is difficult *ex ante* to identify disturbances and how strong they are
- An expansionary fiscal policy can contribute to permanent budget deficits: US and the euro zone in the recent recession
- Policy lags
  - It takes time to change policy and before it affects the economy



### **Central bank interest rates**



Source: Konkunjunkturinstitutet.



## The Riksbanken repo rate, percent



# Procyclical fiscal policy with increasing structural deficit 2016-2017, despite cyclical improvement



Percentage of potential GDP

