

# Course Evaluation, EC2302 Intermediate Development Economics, Autumn Term 2012

## Course Evaluation, EC2302 Intermediate Development Economics, Autumn Term 2012


### Summary

Total number of answers 23  
 Filter no  
 Group by question no


In this course evaluation a five-graded scale will be used where 1=very bad, up to 5=very good

### The course in general

What is your general opinion on this course?




Approximately, how many hours per week did you spend studying during this course? (including lectures and seminars/exercises)



# Course literature

**Did you have access to the course literature?**

	%	#
<b>Yes</b>	91.3%	21
<b>No</b>	8.7%	2
<b>Total</b>	100%	23




**Have you read the course literature?**

	%	#
<b>Yes, mostly</b>	87%	20
<b>No</b>	13%	3
<b>Total</b>	100%	23



**What is your opinion on the course literature? (assuming you read it)**

	%	#
<b>1</b>	0%	0
<b>2</b>	17.4%	4
<b>3</b>	34.8%	8
<b>4</b>	34.8%	8
<b>5</b>	4.35%	1
<b>?</b>	8.7%	2
<b>Total</b>	100%	23




**Mean** 3.3


## Lectures

**Did you attend the lectures?**

	%	#
<b>Yes, mostly</b>	100%	23
<b>No</b>	0%	0
<b>Total</b>	100%	23



**What is your opinion on the lectures? (if you attended them)**




	%	#
<b>1</b>	0%	0
<b>2</b>	0%	0
<b>3</b>	21.7%	5
<b>4</b>	21.7%	5
<b>5</b>	52.2%	12
<b>?</b>	4.35%	1
<b>Total</b>	100%	23
<b>Mean</b>		4.3


**Did you usually read the literature before you attended lectures?**

	%	#
<b>Yes, mostly</b>	39.1%	9

<b>No</b>	56.5%	13
<b>?</b>	4.35%	1
<b>Total</b>	100%	23



**What is your opinion on the level of difficulty of the lectures?**




	%	#
<b>A) Too easy</b>	8.7%	2
<b>B) About right</b>	82.6%	19
<b>C) Too difficult</b>	8.7%	2
<b>Total</b>	100%	23


**Examination**

**Did you write the exam on October 26?**

	%	#
<b>Yes</b>	91.3%	21
<b>No</b>	8.7%	2
<b>Total</b>	100%	23




**How well did the exam reflect the course in your view?**



**Others**

**Are you satisfied with the administrative routines around the course?**



**If you have any additional comments on the course you may write them here:**

13 have answered this question

to have answered the question.

— I think that the subject "gender issue" should be a natural subject in the course. Not something that is in the end "if there's time". The subject gender is always something that teachers have in the end "if there is time" and they have it in their lecture just because that "should be" in the course or something like that.. And it would be nice if the gender issue sometimes, in just one course, are a natural subject to discuss and learn about. I think it's a gender issue that the subject gender never is a natural element in courses. And I'm tired of it.

— Maybe it would have been better to have two sessions for the presentations rather than one as there were so many participants. I also think it is important to have breaks every 45 minutes during the lectures (it has been working rather good though with a few exceptions).

— Great Teacher! Interesting Lectures!

— One of the best teachers I have ever had. But I do not understand how he graded our presentation. No clear explanations

— Konrad is a very good teacher and he made the lectures very interesting! The last class with presentation could have been split into two groups, it was a long time to sit and listen (5 hours).

— The lecture with presentations was very long and I think it would have been better if we divided it into two parts and you didn't have to sit through it all. Konrad was a great lecturer and it was an interesting course.

— I thought Konrad did a great job, he was easy to follow and I liked that he did not make it more difficult than it has to be. I liked the extra material on investments, moral hazard etc, it made it a lot easier to understand. The only thing that was negative was the day we had presentations, there were too many in too little time, stopped listening after about the 10th... (I already sent in one evaluation that is wrong, thought it was for industrial org, so you know, sorry..)

— Conrad is an awesome lecturer!

— Interesting and fun course. Konrad had very good lectures!

— Kanske skulle det ha varit bra att seminarier, där man gick igenom och gjorde assignments till varje gång. Skulle behövt mer tid att få uppgifterna genomgångna eller möjlighet att ställa frågor. Allt gick väldigt fort..

— The exam was more focused on specific aspects of the course literature than I had anticipated it would be. The lectures tended to focus on general models of economic behavior and development

— I very much enjoyed this course. I felt that it was interesting and it filled my expectations of it.

— 1. I am disappointed about the lectures and the structure of the course. The lectures were made of powerpoints where the teacher was just standing and reading the notes on the ppt and it was a very easy level. 2. The presentations we had in class were without clear explanations. First we were told to do a 20 minutes presentation, and one week before we were told to reduce it to 8 min. 3. For improvements, it would be good to have some discussions in class or a seminar instead of the presentations.

---

**Contact person:** Peter Langenius, [peter.langenius@ne.su.se](mailto:peter.langenius@ne.su.se)

**Last modified:** 06/12/12